

**REMARKS**

In view of the above amendments and the following remarks, reconsideration is requested.

This is a response to an Office Action issued under *Ex parte Quayle*. As discussed below, the response corrects all of the informalities set forth in the Office Action.

The Examiner required each of Figures 4, 5, and 6 to be separately labeled, and to include a brief description of each of the drawings. Accordingly, Figure 4 has been labeled as Figs. 4a, 4b and 4c; Figure 5 has been relabeled as Figs. 5a, 5b and 5c; and Figure 6 has been relabeled as Figs. 6a, 6b, 6c and 6d. Moreover, Figure 2 has been relabeled as Figs. 2a and 2b. The brief description of the drawings has been amended to reflect these drawing changes.

The Examiner also pointed out that the title “SUMMARY” on page 11 should be “ABSTRACT.” This change has also been made in the present amendment.

In view of the above, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone to resolve any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Ma Victoria SEGURA LOPEZ DE DICASTILLO  
/Jeffrey R. Filipek/

By:2008.11.12 16:38:05 -05'00'

Jeffrey R. Filipek  
Registration No. 41,471  
Attorney for Applicants

JRF/fs  
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021  
Telephone (202) 721-8200  
Facsimile (202) 721-8250  
November 12, 2008